Exhibit 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -x

STEVEN E. GREER, MD
an individual,

Plaintiff,

-against-

Dennis Mehiel, an individual, Robert Serpico, an individual, The Battery Park City Authority, a New York State authority, Howard Milstein, an individual, Steven Rossi, an individual, Janet Martin, an individual, Milford Management, a New York Corporation, and Mariners Cove Site B Associates, a New York corporation.

Defendants.

- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -x

CONFIDENTIAL

DEPOSITION OF ROBERT MICHAEL SERPICO
New York, New York
March 21, 2017

Reported by:
Pessi Goldstein
JOB NO. 121382

March 21, 2017
10:27 a.m.

DEPOSITION of ROBERT MICHAEL SERPICO, a Defendant herein, held at the United States District Court, Southern District of New York, 500 Pearl Street, New York, NY 10007, taken before Pessi Goldstein, a shorthand reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York.

* * *

A P P E A R A N C E S:

STEVEN E. GREER, MD
Plaintiff Pro Se

SHER TREMONTE
Attorneys for Defendants
Robert Michael Serpico
Battery Park City Authority
80 Broad Street
New York, NY 10004
BY: MICHAEL TREMONTE, ESQ.
MICHAEL GIBALDI, ESQ.

ROSENBERG & ESTIS
Attorneys for
Remaining Defendants
733 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10017
BY: DEBORAH RIEGEL, ESQ.

ALSO PRESENT:
ALIX S. PUSTILNIK
General Counsel for BPCA

* * *

S T I P U L A T I O N S

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys for the respective parties herein, that filing, sealing and certification be and the same are hereby waived.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all objections, except as to the form of the question shall be reserved to the time of the trial.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the within deposition may be signed before any Notary Public with the same force and effect as if signed and sworn to before the Court.

ROBERT M. SERPICO - CONFIDENTIAL

so the notion that it was ruled not permissible by discovery is completely impossible. This is a new document that just arose.

Okay. So, we'll take that up with the judge, okay.

Q. Now, have you ever had personal meetings with Steve Rossi in the neighborhood, in the nature of coffee, let's grab coffee, that sort of thing?

MR. TREMONTE: Objection to form.

A. Yes.

Q. How many times in the last three years do you estimate you've met Steve Rossi in person?

A. I don't know a count but I would average, on an average maybe once every four months or so, thereabouts, sometimes it was back-to-back, then we wouldn't see each other for months. I would say average about once every four months or so.

Q. And those meetings have been going on for how many decades?

MR. TREMONTE: Objection.

A. Steve and I know each other for a long time so we have been meeting regularly for a long time, decades, I don't know if it goes back to the '80s but --

Q. Were you present --

MR. TREMONTE: Please let the witness finish his testimony.

Q. Was he present for your 2016 going away party at Pier A?

MR. TREMONTE: Objection to form.

A. I don't remember seeing him. If he showed up, it was for a brief moment but I don't remember seeing him that night. Maybe he was, I couldn't, I forget, I don't know.

Q. Did you take a photograph with him with your arm around him?

MR. TREMONTE: Objection.

A. If he was there, chances are, yes.

MR. TREMONTE: Hold on, you've got to let me make my objection. You are getting perilously close to being beyond the scope of permissible actual nitty-gritty making a decision, there were some concerns internally.

Q. And what were those concerns?

A. The concerns, my understanding of the concerns internally were that you were abusive to intern staffs and you were intimidating and you were using bad language and ad hominem attacks and you were abusing staff when you called in and didn't get the answers you want. So that was a concern I know internally and that may have fostered the decision.

Q. Do you have any voicemail or audio recordings of that alleged behavior of mine?

MR. TREMONTE: Objection to form.

A. No, but I have firsthand knowledge, I mean Seema Singh, I work closely with her, she was senior counsel, and Seema Singh was acting general counsel at one point. And right after she got off the phone with you, I was with her and she was visibly upset because you had called her a word I won't even use, in language, but it

ROBERT M. SERPICO - CONFIDENTIAL

began with a C and end with a T, and it was extremely disparaging and extremely upsetting to her as a female attorney and that was typical of language used with other staff at the Authority so --

Q. And this is based on testimony but you don't have any --

A. Right, when she hung up the phone she was visibly upset, yes.

MR. TREMONTE: Hold on, hold on. Objection, number one, you have to let the witness answer the question you put to him. And number two, to the extent that you are making comments that are not questions about his testimony, I would move to strike those as superfluous and harassing.

Q. On July 29 of 2015, you had a public board meeting. I was once again not allowed in and I was sent to what I best, they described as a video overflow room down at 21 West Thames or where the old PEP offices were. Are you aware of, when I use the word "video overflow room," and so